

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/063,145	03/25/2002	Roderick Leon Barnes	BIF.001	2719
29767 7:	590 12/15/2004		EXAMINER	
ERIC W. CERNYAR, P.C.			BLACK, LINH	
10401 FOX HO SAN ANTONI			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	<b>-,</b>		2167	
			DATE MAIL ED: 12/15/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/063,145	BARNES, RODERICK LEON			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		LINH BLACK	2167	<b>\</b>		
The MAILING DATE of this c Period for Reply	ommunication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addre	ess		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PEL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS CO  - Extensions of time may be available under the after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of  - If the period for reply specified above is less th  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the m  - Failure to reply within the set or extended perion - Any reply received by the Office later than thre earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1	MMUNICATION. provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 f this communication. an thirty (30) days, a reply aximum statutory period by for reply will, by statute, e months after the mailing	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be t within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da Il apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed  sys will be considered timely.  the mailing date of this comm  ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	~ nunication.		
Status						
1) Responsive to communication	on(s) filed on <u>25 Ma</u>	nrch 2002.				
2a) This action is FINAL.		action is non-final.				
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowe 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>20-27</u> is/are rejecte 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objecte 8) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-19, 28-32</u> are sub	is/are withdraw d. d. ed to.	·				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected 10) The drawing(s) filed on Applicant may not request that a	_is/are: a)∏ acce					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) i 11)☐ The oath or declaration is obj		on is required if the drawing(s) is o aminer. Note the attached Offic				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
•	ne of: priority documents priority documents copies of the priori ternational Bureau	have been received. have been received in Applica ty documents have been receiv (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Sta	age		
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing F  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO		4)  Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [ 5)  Notice of Informal		52)		
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 20041207.	/- 1449 UI F 1 U/3 D/U8)	6) Other:	· Little phoduoti (i 10°10	·-,		

Art Unit: 2167

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Specification

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it contains more than 150 words. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 20-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tabb et al. (USP 5603025).

1. Tabb et al. anticipated the independent claim 20 by the following:

Art Unit: 2167

retrieve a first object that defines characteristics of the first report, the first object including a first query language statement operable to retrieve a first data set from a relational database, the first object also including a linking instruction that specifies a linking relationship between at least a portion of the first data set and the second report, the first object further specifying a first report template to which the first data set is operable to be bound – figs. 3c-e; paragraphs 0007, 0018, 0044; 0133, 0142. transmit the first query language instruction to a relational database management system – pars. 0065-0067, 0072, 0096, 0124.

retrieve the first data set from the relational database management system in response to the first query language instruction; bind at least a portion of the first data set to the first report template – pars. 0007, 0133-0134, 0142

publish the first report – figs. 3c-e; pars. 0065, 0124-0125, 0145.

wait for the user to select an element of the first report; if the user selects an element of the first report, map the user's selection to a corresponding portion of the first data set – pars. 0005, 0089, 0124-0125, 0133-0134.

if the linking instruction specifies a linking relationship between the second report and the portion of the first data set corresponding to the user's selection, then retrieve a second object that defines characteristics of the second report – pars. 0142, 0118-0119, 0141-0142; fig. 7b.

the second object including a second query language instruction operable to retrieve a second data set from a relational database, the second object further specifying a

second report template to which the second data set is operable to be bound – pars. 0144, 0154-0165.

retrieve the second data set from the relational database management system in response to the second query language instruction; bind the second data set to the second report template; and publish the second report – pars. 0142-0145.

2. Tabb et al. anticipated claim 21 by the following:

where the linking instruction also includes a parameter to pass to the second object and to modify the second query language instruction therein, the method further comprising the following action if the action of retrieving the second object is performed: translate the second query language instruction to incorporate the parameter passed by the linking instruction - pars. 0142-0145; 0154-0165.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Application/Control Number: 10/063,145 Page 5

Art Unit: 2167

Claims 22, 24, 26-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bakalash et al. (US 2002/0184187).

3. Bakalash et al. anticipated the independent claim 22 by the following:

a computer – fig. 19G (client machine); fig. 21; paragraph 0234; 0239.

a plurality of report pattern objects residing on the computer, each object defining the

characteristics of a report, including a query language statement operable to retrieve a

result set from the relational database – paragraphs 0008-0012; 0057-0058; 0116.

a data retrieving module operable to retrieve the result set specified by the query

language statement – pars. 0014, 0070-0071.

a result set handling module operable to identify drill-down-report-specifying metadata

in the result set – pars. 0074, 0231, 0235.

an event handling module operable to retrieve, in response to user requests, report

pattern objects corresponding to drill-down reports specified in the metadata of the

result set – pars. 0055-0056, 0201, 0233.

4. Bakalash et al. anticipated claim 24 by the following:

a translating module operable to incorporate parameters passed by the event handling

module into the query language expressions of report pattern objects retrieved in

response to user requests for drill-down reports – pars. 0055-0056; 0202; 0231.

5. Bakalash et al. anticipated claim 26 by the following:

Art Unit: 2167

a presentation handler operable to display reports in accordance with the report code generated by the reporting module – pars. 0029, 0037, 0055, 0120.

6. Bakalash et al. anticipated claim 27 by the following:

wherein the data retrieving module comprises at least a portion of a relational database management system – pars. 0057-0058, 0120, 0134, 0150, 0158, 0177, 0204, 0217, 0233-0234.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bakalash et al. (US 2002/0184187), and further in view of Tabb et al. (USP 5603025).

7. As per claim 23, Bakalash et al. do not explicitly teach an editing module operable to enable the editing of the report pattern objects. However, Tabb et al. teach "methods for hypertext reporting in a relational database management system" – the title. Tabb et al. teach editing of objects: forms – col. 12, line 25 to col. 13, line 7. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the

invention to combine Tabb et al.'s with Bakalash et al.'s teaching in order to allow the editing/modification of report pattern objects.

Claim 25 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bakalash et al. (US 2002/0184187)

8. As per claim 25, Bakalash et al. teach generating of reports corresponding to the result set on a user interface – paragraphs 0029, 0055-0056, 0120, 0168, 0252. However, Bakalash et al. do not explicitly teach generate report code. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that report codes are inherently generated when reports are generated.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LINH BLACK whose telephone number is 571-272-4106. The examiner can normally be reached on 8am - 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, JOHN BREENE can be reached on 571-272-4107. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/063,145

Art Unit: 2167

Page 8

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

December 7, 2004

linh Black

LINH BLACK Examiner Art Unit 2167

Primary Examiner